

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FISH AND GAME COMMISSION
FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REGULATORY ACTION

Amend Section 364
Title 14, California Code of Regulations
Re: Elk

- I. Date of Initial Statement of Reasons: December 15, 2009
- II. Date of Pre-adoption Statement of Reasons: March 20, 2010
- III. Date of Final Statement of Reasons: April 27, 2010
- IV. Dates and Locations of Scheduled Hearings:
 - (a) Notice Hearing: Date: February 4, 2010
Location: Sacramento, California
 - (b) Discussion Hearing Date: March 4, 2010
Location: Ontario, California
 - (c) Discussion Hearing Date: April 8, 2010
Location: Monterey, California
 - (d) Adoption Hearing: Date: April 21, 2010
Location: Teleconference
- V. Update:

No modifications were made to the originally proposed language of the Initial Statement of Reasons.

The proposed regulatory action is made to enhance elk hunting opportunity. The proposal establishes seven new hunts, the Alameda tule elk hunt, the Bear Valley tule elk hunt, the Lake Pillsbury tule elk hunt, the Mendocino tule elk hunt, the Santa Clara tule elk hunt, the Tinemaha tule elk hunt, and the Whitney tule elk hunt. Modifies season dates for Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk hunt, the Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk hunt, and the Northwestern Roosevelt elk hunt. The proposal modifies existing hunt boundaries for the Big Lagoon tule elk, Lone Pine tule elk hunt, Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk hunt, Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk hunt, and the West Tinemaha tule elk hunt. It establishes a muzzleloader only hunt within the Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk hunt and a

combination archery/muzzleloader hunt within the Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk hunt. The proposal also modifies and renames the Owens Valley Region Wide archery only hunt to the Owens Valley multi-zone archery only hunt. There are also modifications to the Tinemaha tule elk hunt, West Tinemaha tule elk hunt, Independence tule elk hunt, and Lone Pine tule elk hunt. The final changes are modifications to the type of tags issued for the Owens Valley multi-zone archery only tule elk hunt, the Siskiyou Roosevelt elk hunt, the Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk hunt, the Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk hunt, and the Big Lagoon Roosevelt elk hunt. Harvest-related impacts to elk populations are contained within the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Elk Hunting.

Based on results of surveys contained in the “Data Supplement to The California Fish and Game Commission, Regarding: Recommended 2010 Elk Tag Ranges (Updated 2009 Elk Harvest and Population Estimates)”, the Department recommended the following changes from 2009 for Elk tag quotas for 2010 as indicated in the initial proposal. Pursuant to its April 21, 2010 meeting, the Fish and Game Commission adopted the final Elk quotas as follows:

Hunt Code	Hunt Name	2010 Tag Allocations
408	Marble Mountains either-sex (Apprentice Hunt)	2
409	Northeastern California either-sex (Apprentice Hunt)	2
484	Cache Creek Period 1 bull (Apprentice Hunt)	1
464	La Panza Period 1 antlerless (Apprentice Hunt)	1
489	Bishop Period 2 antlerless (Apprentice Hunt)	3
469	Grizzly Island period 2 spike bull (Apprentice Hunt)	1
471	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 1 antlerless (Apprentice Hunt)	2
472	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 3 bull (Apprentice Hunt)	1
401	Siskiyou antlerless	15
300	Siskiyou bull	15
402	Big Lagoon antlerless	5
403	Big Lagoon bull	5
483	Northwestern California either-sex	20
404	Klamath antlerless	10
405	Klamath bull	10
413	Del Norte antlerless	10
414	Del Norte bull	5
301	Marble Mountain antlerless	10
302	Marble Mountain bull	35
303	Marble Mountains Muzzleloader/Archery either-sex	5
304	Northeastern CA antlerless	5
305	Northeastern CA bull	15
411	Northeastern Ca archery either-sex	10
406	Cache Creek Period 1 bull	2

Hunt Code	Hunt Name	2010 Tag Allocations
416	Cache Creek period 2 antlerless	2
417	La Panza period 1 antlerless	5
419	La Panza period 1 bull	6
418	La Panza period 2 antlerless	6
420	La Panza period 2 bull	6
422	Owens Valley Multiple Zone Archery bull	5
487	Bishop Period 1 Muzzleloader bull	1
488	Bishop Period 1 Muzzleloader antlerless	3
485	Bishop Period 3 antlerless	3
490	Bishop Period 3 bull	2
432	Bishop Period 4 antlerless	3
307	Independence Period 1 Muzzleloader antlerless	2
308	Independence Period 1 Muzzleloader bull	1
309	Independence Period 4 antlerless	3
310	Independence Period 5 antlerless	3
311	Lone Pine Period 1 Archery bull	2
495	Lone Pine Period 2 antlerless	2
486	Lone Pine Period 2 bull	3
459	Lone Pine Period 3 antlerless	2
425	Lone Pine Period 4 antlerless	2
312	Tinemaha AO Period 1 bull	2
313	Tinemaha Period 2 antlerless	2
314	Tinemaha Period 3 antlerless	2
315	West Tinemaha Period 1 bull	2
316	West Tinemaha Period 2 antlerless	7
317	West Tinemaha Period 2 bull	2
318	West Tinemaha Period 3 antlerless	9
319	West Tinemaha Period 4 antlerless	9
320	Tinemaha Mountain Period 3 bull	1
321	Tinemaha Mountain Period 4 bull	1
322	Whitney AO Period 1 antlerless	2
323	Whitney Period 2 bull	1
324	Whitney Period 3 antlerless	2
325	Whitney Period 4 antlerless	2
433	Grizzly Island period 1 antlerless	2
435	Grizzly Island period 1 bull	2
437	Grizzly Island period 2 spike bull	2
*449	Fort Hunter Liggett Archery only either-sex	6
*450	Fort Hunter Liggett Archery only antlerless	10
*444	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 1 antlerless	14
*448	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 2 antlerless	16

Hunt Code	Hunt Name	2010 Tag Allocations
*447	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 3 bull	14
*326	Fort Hunter Liggett Muzzleloader bull	6
**N/A	Fort Hunter Liggett Early Season bull	2
461	East Park Reservoir Period 1 bull	2
463	East Park Reservoir Period 3 antlerless	4
497	San Luis Reservoir either-sex	3
327	Mendocino antlerless	2
328	Mendocino bull	2
329	Bear Valley antlerless	1
330	Bear Valley bull	1
331	Lake Pillsbury antlerless	2
332	Lake Pillsbury bull	2
333	Alameda bull	1
334	Santa Clara bull	1

* Military and General Tags Combined

** Military Tags Only

VI. Summary of Primary Considerations Raised in Support of or Opposition to the Proposed Actions and Reasons for Rejecting those considerations:

Responses and analysis to public comments received are included in the attached – [Responses to Public Comments for Changes in the Mammal Hunting and Trapping Regulations Received by the Fish and Game Commission.](#)

VII. Location and Index of Rulemaking File:

A rulemaking file with attached file index is maintained at:
California Fish and Game Commission
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814

VIII. Location of Department files:

Department of Fish and Game
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814

IX. Description of Reasonable Alternatives to Regulatory Action:

(a) Alternatives to Regulatory Action:

1. Number of Tags

No alternatives were identified. Elk license tag quotas must be adjusted periodically in response to a variety of environmental and biological conditions.

2. Establish New Hunts: Whitney tule elk, Tinemaha Mountain tule elk, Mendocino tule elk, Lake Pillsbury tule elk, Bear Valley tule elk, Alameda tule elk, and Santa Clara tule elk.

No alternatives were considered in establishing new hunts for the Whitney and Tinemaha Mountain tule elk hunts. Leaving them as part of the previous hunt boundaries would not allow the Department to appropriately manage the subgroups through existing harvest regulations.

Demand for public elk hunting is high in California. More than 26,000 applications were submitted in 2009 for 332 elk tags.

No alternatives were identified for the Mendocino, Lake Pillsbury, Bear Valley, Alameda, and Santa Clara tule elk hunts. Existing regulations provide limited or no public tule elk hunting in these areas. These areas currently support adequate numbers of elk to support a limited harvest. Establishing (new) tule elk hunts in these areas is desired to improve hunter opportunity and is consistent with the statewide management objectives for tule elk.

3. Modify Season Dates: Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk, Northwestern Roosevelt elk, and Northeastern California Rocky Mountain elk.

No alternatives were identified for the modification of season dates. Access to Fort Hunter Liggett is entirely controlled by the base commander and new dates are the only option that accommodates military operations while still providing hunter opportunity.

Modifications to the season dates for the Northwestern California Roosevelt elk hunt and the Northeastern California Rocky Mountain elk hunt are necessary to bring consistency to the ending dates of the fund raising tag.

4. Modify Existing hunt boundaries: Northeastern California Rocky Mountain elk, Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk, Big Lagoon Roosevelt elk, West Tinemaha tule elk, and Lone Pine tule elk.

Establishing a (new) hunt (in lieu of adjusting boundaries) was considered as an alternative and rejected for the Northeastern and Marble Mountain elk hunt zones. The additional areas occupied by elk are predominantly on

private property. It is unknown if trespass permission would be granted to a general tag holder. Establishing a new hunt is not needed at this time.

Splitting both the Northeastern and Marble Mountain elk zones into two or more smaller zones (while encompassing the boundary extension) was considered as an alternative and rejected. Currently harvest objectives are being met with the existing zones.

No alternative was identified for the Big Lagoon boundary modification. The modification is a minor change to precisely identify the actual boundary between zones.

No alternatives were identified for the West Tinemaha and Lone Pine elk zone boundary modifications. Existing boundaries do not allow appropriate harvest between subgroups. Modifying these boundaries (splitting the zones) allows for the appropriate harvest level within in each subgroup.

5. Add New Hunts within Existing Zones: Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk and Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk.

Establishing separate archery and muzzleloader hunts for the Marble Mountain elk hunt was considered and rejected. With the limited number of tags available and the size of the zone hunter crowding is not considered to be a problem. Combining the tags into one hunt allows hunters with maximum preference points the best chance of being drawn. The combination tag also allows the hunter to choose between methods.

No alternatives were identified for the establishment of the new bull tule elk muzzleloader hunt at Fort Hunter Liggett. There is great demand for tule elk bull hunts and muzzleloader hunts. The new muzzleloader hunt satisfies the demand for additional muzzleloader hunts and bull tule elk hunts and provides more opportunity for California elk hunters.

Combining the proposed Fort Hunter Liggett military bull tag into one of the existing hunt periods was considered and rejected. The proposed new hunt allows early season hunting opportunity of tule elk bulls that fits within the military operation schedule on the base.

6. Modifications to Existing Hunts: Owens Valley (Independence, Lone Pine, Tinemaha, and West Tinemaha) tule elk, Siskiyou Roosevelt elk, Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk, Northeastern California Roosevelt elk, and Big Lagoon Roosevelt elk.

Eliminating the Owens Valley region wide early season archery hunt was considered and rejected. There is a large demand for these tags and eliminating the tag would reduce early season hunting opportunities within

the area. Reducing the zones the tags are valid in eliminates the potential for over harvest of elk in those zones.

Reducing the number of tags was considered and rejected for the conversion of the Owens Valley multi-zone archery tags from either-sex to bull and antlerless tags. The proposal allows the Department to better manage the desired harvest with bull and antlerless tags. There is demand for both bull and antlerless tule elk and the proposal meets that demand and increases opportunity.

Conversion of the period one Independence archery tag and the Lone Pine muzzleloader tag to general method tags was considered and rejected. There is demand for archery and muzzleloader tags. Modifying the methods of take for period one in these zones still allows for archery and muzzleloader opportunities. Success rates for the period one archery hunt in the Independence zone are low. The Department believes archery success rates would be higher in the Lone Pine zone due to habitat and topography. Success rates for muzzleloader hunts in the Independence zone should be similar to historic rates in the Lone Pine zone.

Reducing the number of tags was considered and rejected as an alternative for independently issuing tags for the Tinemaha and West Tinemaha zones. Reducing the overall number of tags would eliminate the potential for over harvest of subgroups, but would also reduce hunter opportunity. Issuing tags valid for the Tinemaha or West Tinemaha zone allows the Department to obtain the desired harvest in each zone while maintaining hunter opportunity.

Reducing the number of tags was considered and rejected as an alternative for the conversion either-sex tags in the Siskiyou zone to bull tags. Current regulations authorize either-sex and antlerless tags for the Siskiyou zone. The proposal allows the Department to better manage the desired harvest by converting the either-sex tags to bull tags.

No alternative was identified for the conversion of either-sex tags to bull and antlerless tags for the Marble Mountain, Northeastern, and Big Lagoon elk hunts. The proposal allows the Department to better manage the desired harvest with bull and antlerless tags. There is demand for both bull and antlerless elk and the proposal meets that demand and increases opportunity.

(b) No change Alternative:

1. Number of Tags

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected because it would not attain project objectives of providing for hunting opportunities while maintaining elk populations and biological/environmental conditions at desired levels. Retaining current tag quotas for each zone may not be responsive to biologically-based changes in the status of various herds. Management plans specify desired sex and age ratios which are attained and maintained in part by modifying tag quotas on an annual basis. The no-change alternative would not allow adjustment of tag quotas in response to changing environmental/biological conditions.

1. Establish new hunts: Whitney tule elk, Tinemaha Mountain tule elk, Mendocino tule elk, Lake Pillsbury tule elk, Bear Valley tule elk, Alameda tule elk, and Santa Clara tule elk.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected. The no-change alternative would not allow DFG to offer additional hunting opportunities, which currently are in high demand. By not implementing hunting in these areas, the no-change alternative would compromise the Departments ability to alleviate land use conflicts and manage elk population numbers.

2. Modify Season Dates: Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk, Northwestern Roosevelt elk, and Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected for the Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk hunt because not adjusting the dates is not acceptable to the military base. Military use has priority over the hunting program and the new dates meet the needs of the base. The hunts would be eliminated if the dates were not allowed to change.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected for the Northwestern and Northeastern elk hunts because it creates confusion on season ending dates between the fund raising tag and general tags.

3. Modify existing hunt boundaries: Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk, Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk, Big Lagoon Roosevelt elk, West Tinemaha tule elk, and Lone Pine tule elk.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected because it does not facilitate opening additional areas to hunt in the Northeastern and Marble Mountain hunt zones.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected because it does not provide for a clear boundary description for the Big Lagoon elk zone.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected for the West Tinemaha and Lone Pine tule elk zone boundary modification because it

does not allow the Department to obtain the desired harvest within each zone while maintaining hunting opportunities.

4. Add hunts within existing zones: Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk and Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected because it does not meet the demand by the hunting public for archery and muzzleloader hunts for Roosevelt elk.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected because it does not meet the demand by the hunting public additional muzzleloader hunts for tule elk, nor does it meet the demand by Fort Hunter Liggett for additional military hunts.

5. Modifications to existing hunts: Owens Valley (Independence, Lone Pine, Tinemaha, and West Tinemaha) tule elk, Siskiyou Roosevelt elk, Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk, Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk, and Big Lagoon Roosevelt elk.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected for modifying the Owens Valley region wide early season archery because it does not allow the Department to meet and maintain population objectives for individual areas.

The no-change alternative was considered and rejected because it does not meet the demand by the hunting public for both bull and antlerless tags nor does it allow the Department to meet and maintain population objectives for these areas.

- (c) Consideration of Alternatives: In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purposes for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to the affected private persons than the proposed regulation.

X. Impact of Regulatory Action:

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

- (a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. Considering the small number of tags issued over the entire state, this proposal is economically neutral to business.

- (b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California:

None

- (c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

None

- (d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

None

- (e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

None

- (f) Programs mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

None

- (g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4:

None

- (h) Effect on Housing Costs:

None

Updated Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Existing regulations specify elk hunting zones. The proposal establishes seven new elk hunting zones (Whitney tule elk, Tinemaha Mountain tule elk, Mendocino tule elk, Lake Pillsbury tule elk, Bear Valley tule elk, Alameda tule elk, and Santa Clara tule elk).

Existing regulation establish season dates for Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk, Northwestern California Roosevelt elk, and Northeastern California Rocky Mountain elk. The proposed regulations for Fort Hunter Liggett increase the number of hunt days for each hunt in an effort to expand hunter opportunity and increase success. The proposed regulations also modify the hunt dates in the Northwestern California Roosevelt elk hunt and the Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk hunt for the fund raising tag. This modification adjusts the season ending dates so the fund raising tag ends on the same day as the general season.

Existing regulations specify elk hunting zone boundaries. The proposal modifies boundaries for the Northeastern California Rocky Mountain elk zone, Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk zone, Big Lagoon Roosevelt elk zone, West Tinemaha tule elk zone, and the Lone Pine tule elk zone. The proposal expands boundaries for the Northeastern Rocky Mountain elk hunt and the Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk hunt south to Highway 36. The proposal also modifies the boundary between the Big Lagoon and the Northwestern elk hunt. This modification changes the boundary from the power line right of way to the power line road within right of way of the Humboldt-Trinity 115 Line and Trinity-Maple Creek 60 Line. Within the Owens Valley the proposal modifies the West Tinemaha tule elk boundary by dividing the zone into two separate zones, creating a new zone called Tinemaha Mountain tule elk. In addition the proposal modifies the Lone Pine tule elk boundary by dividing the zone into two separate zones, creating a new zone called Whitney tule elk.

Existing regulations specify elk hunts. The proposal establishes a new combination archery/muzzleloader hunt for the Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk zone. The proposal also establishes a new muzzleloader and general military hunt for the Fort Hunter Liggett tule elk zone.

Existing regulations establish which zone elk tags are valid in. The proposal changes the name of the Owens Valley Region Wide Archery Only hunt to the Owens Valley Multiple-Zone Archery Only hunt. The tag authorizes harvest of elk in the Bishop, Independence, Lone Pine, Tinemaha Mountain, and Whitney zones. Existing regulations for these tags authorize the harvest of either-sex elk. The proposal converts the new Owens Valley multiple-zone archery tags from either-sex to bull and antlerless tags.

Existing regulations specify methods of take for each hunt period in the Owens Valley. The proposal modifies the period one hunt in the Independence zone from archery to muzzleloader and the period one hunt in the Lone Pine zone from muzzleloader to archery.

Existing regulations authorize tags valid in both the Tinemaha and West Tinemaha zones. The proposal authorizes tags independently for each of these zones.

Existing regulations authorize either-sex and antlerless tags in the Siskiyou Roosevelt elk hunt. The proposal converts the Siskiyou Roosevelt elk tags from either-sex to bull.

Existing regulations authorize either-sex tags for the Big Lagoon Roosevelt elk hunt, Marble Mountain Roosevelt elk hunt, and Northeastern California Rocky Mountain elk hunt. The proposal converts general either-sex tags to bull and antlerless tags.

Existing regulations specify elk license tag quotas for each hunt. It is necessary to adjust quotas periodically in response to dynamic environmental and biological conditions and to provide additional hunting opportunity, where warranted. The original proposal provided a series of tag ranges for the Commission to select and adopt.

The original proposal changed the number of license tags for the hunts to a series of ranges. The proposal is further modified to provide the final tag quota's based on updated harvest and population analysis contained in the "Data Supplement To The California Fish and Game Commission, Regarding: Recommended 2010 Elk Tag Allocations (Updated 2009 Elk Harvest and Population Estimates)". The number of tags proposed is intended to provide an appropriate level of elk hunting opportunity and harvest while achieving or maintaining management and population objectives. These final values for the license tag numbers are based upon findings from annual harvest and population surveys.

No other modifications to the original proposal were made. Pursuant to its April 21, 2010 meeting, the Fish and Game Commission adopted the above referenced changes and final tag quotas as proposed.

2010 Final Elk Tag Allocation

Hunt Code	Hunt Name	2010 Tag Allocations
408	Marble Mountains either-sex (Apprentice Hunt)	2
409	Northeastern California either-sex (Apprentice Hunt)	2
484	Cache Creek Period 1 bull (Apprentice Hunt)	1

Hunt Code	Hunt Name	2010 Tag Allocations
464	La Panza Period 1 antlerless (Apprentice Hunt)	1
489	Bishop Period 2 antlerless (Apprentice Hunt)	3
469	Grizzly Island period 2 spike bull (Apprentice Hunt)	1
471	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 1 antlerless (Apprentice Hunt)	2
472	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 3 bull (Apprentice Hunt)	1
401	Siskiyou antlerless	15
300	Siskiyou bull	15
402	Big Lagoon antlerless	5
403	Big Lagoon bull	5
483	Northwestern California either-sex	20
404	Klamath antlerless	10
405	Klamath bull	10
413	Del Norte antlerless	10
414	Del Norte bull	5
301	Marble Mountain antlerless	10
302	Marble Mountain bull	35
303	Marble Mountains Muzzleloader/Archery either-sex	5
304	Northeastern CA antlerless	5
305	Northeastern CA bull	15
411	Northeastern Ca archery either-sex	10
406	Cache Creek Period 1 bull	2
416	Cache Creek period 2 antlerless	2
417	La Panza period 1 antlerless	5
419	La Panza period 1 bull	6
418	La Panza period 2 antlerless	6
420	La Panza period 2 bull	6
422	Owens Valley Multiple Zone Archery bull	5
487	Bishop Period 1 Muzzleloader bull	1
488	Bishop Period 1 Muzzleloader antlerless	3
485	Bishop Period 3 antlerless	3
490	Bishop Period 3 bull	2
432	Bishop Period 4 antlerless	3
307	Independence Period 1 Muzzleloader antlerless	2
308	Independence Period 1 Muzzleloader bull	1
309	Independence Period 4 antlerless	3
310	Independence Period 5 antlerless	3
311	Lone Pine Period 1 Archery bull	2
495	Lone Pine Period 2 antlerless	2
486	Lone Pine Period 2 bull	3
459	Lone Pine Period 3 antlerless	2
425	Lone Pine Period 4 antlerless	2

Hunt Code	Hunt Name	2010 Tag Allocations
312	Tinemaha AO Period 1 bull	2
313	Tinemaha Period 2 antlerless	2
314	Tinemaha Period 3 antlerless	2
315	West Tinemaha Period 1 bull	2
316	West Tinemaha Period 2 antlerless	7
317	West Tinemaha Period 2 bull	2
318	West Tinemaha Period 3 antlerless	9
319	West Tinemaha Period 4 antlerless	9
320	Tinemaha Mountain Period 3 bull	1
321	Tinemaha Mountain Period 4 bull	1
322	Whitney AO Period 1 antlerless	2
323	Whitney Period 2 bull	1
324	Whitney Period 3 antlerless	2
325	Whitney Period 4 antlerless	2
433	Grizzly Island period 1 antlerless	2
435	Grizzly Island period 1 bull	2
437	Grizzly Island period 2 spike bull	2
*449	Fort Hunter Liggett Archery only either-sex	6
*450	Fort Hunter Liggett Archery only antlerless	10
*444	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 1 antlerless	14
*448	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 2 antlerless	16
*447	Fort Hunter Liggett Period 3 bull	14
*326	Fort Hunter Liggett Muzzleloader bull	6
**N/A	Fort Hunter Liggett Early Season bull	2
461	East Park Reservoir Period 1 bull	2
463	East Park Reservoir Period 3 antlerless	4
497	San Luis Reservoir either-sex	3
327	Mendocino antlerless	2
328	Mendocino bull	2
329	Bear Valley antlerless	1
330	Bear Valley bull	1
331	Lake Pillsbury antlerless	2
332	Lake Pillsbury bull	2
333	Alameda bull	1
334	Santa Clara bull	1

* Military and General Tags Combined

** Military Tags Only